This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

Polymer Formation by ylrradiation of Dichloromaleic Anhydride (DC I MA) in Benzene Solution

H. Thamm^a; H. Heusinger^a ^a Institut für Radlochemle Technlsche, Universität MUnchen, Garching, Germany

To cite this Article Thamm, H. and Heusinger, H.(1977) 'Polymer Formation by ylrradiation of Dichloromaleic Anhydride (DC I MA) in Benzene Solution', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 11: 5, 921 – 932 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00222337708061297 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222337708061297

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Polymer Formation by γ -Irradiation of Dichloromaleic Anhydride (DCIMA) in Benzene Solution

H. THAMM and H. HEUSINGER

Institut für Radiochemie Technische Universität München D - 8046 Garching, Germany

ABSTRACT

In the γ -irradiation of dichloromaleic anhydride (DCIMA) in benzene solution, the first step of the reaction, analogous to photochemistry, is the formation of α -chloro- α -phenylmaleic anhydride (CIPhMA). In contrast to photochemistry, where this intermediate is transformed into a cycloaddition product, a polymer is formed in radiolysis. The polymer consists of CIPhMA units as well as components resulting from benzene radiolysis.

INTRODUCTION

The homopolymerization of maleic anhydride (MA) is well known and described to be initiated by radicals [1, 2], organic bases [3-5], and UV and ionizing radiation in bulk or in inert solvents [6]. The polymerization is accompanied by CO₂ elimination. In the presence of olefinic or aromatic compounds, however, the photolysis of MA

921

Copyright © 1977 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Neither this work nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

results in a cycloaddition of MA to the double bonds. In the case of benzene, a 1:1 cycloaddition intermediate is formed which immediately undergoes a Diels-Alder reaction to form a 2:1 MA-benzene adduct (I) [7, 8].



The radiolysis of MA in benzene solution has been investigated by Raciszewski [9]. He found that the 2:1 adduct (I) is formed in small amounts as well, but the main product is a polymer which consists mainly of MA units.

For disubstituted maleic anhydrides neither homo- nor copolymerization reactions are reported. Nevertheless, photochemical cycloadditions are still possible. Dimethylmaleic anhydride (DMMA) adds to cyclohexene [10] and dichloromaleic anhydride (DCIMA) adds to butadiene [11]. In benzene solution a photochemically induced cycloaddition of these α, α' -disubstituted compounds to the aromate is not observed. While DMMA cyclodimerizes [12], DCIMA undergoes an exotic reaction. In the first step, an α -chloro- α -phenylmaleic anhydride (CIPhMA) is formed. The CIPhMA product then behaves like the unsubstituted MA, which means a second step cycloaddition to benzene takes place, followed by a third step Diels-Alder reaction with a DCIMA molecule to result in the product II [13].



Π

Working with DMMA and DC1MA in benzene solution we find that the radiolysis of DMMA-benzene leads mainly to the DMMA cyclodimer, whereas the main product of the DC1MA-benzene radiolysis is a polymer. In this article we want to report on this polymer.

RESULTS

With benzoyl peroxide as radical initiator and $KOC(CH_3)_3$ as ionic initiator for polymerization we proved that DCIMA in benzene solution is not able to undergo polymerization reactions. Also, the photolysis and radiolysis of DCIMA in cyclohexane solutions does not result in polymer formation in the presence or absence of sensitizers like acetophenone or benzophenone. Sensitized photolysis in benzene results in the formation of the product II. No polymer is formed.

Adding pyridine to a benzene-DCIMA solution causes immediate formation of a brown-green precipitate which is unstable. Therefore it was not possible to purify and analyze this compound. During γ -irradiation of a DCIMA-benzene solution DCIMA is consumed. Figure 1 shows the DCIMA conversion for different irradiation conditions. In Fig. 2 the CO₂ and CO formation is plotted.

Figure 3 shows a gas chromatogram of the dimeric products of benzene radiolysis. Obviously the benzene radiolysis is completely altered in the presence of 0.1 mole/liter DCIMA. The formation of



FIG. 1. Radiolytic DClMA conversion in a 0.1 <u>M</u> solution of DClMA in benzene: (•) without additive; (□) benzophenome, 0.2 mole/liter; (•) acetophenone, 0.2 mole/liter; (×) $C(NO_2)_4$, 0.8 mole/liter; (+) saturated with air.



FIG. 2. Radiolytic CO₂ and CO production: (•) 0.1 mole/liter DCIMA in benzene; (\triangle) 0.1 mole/liter DCIMA + 0.8 mole/liter C(NO₂)₄ in benzene.

diphenyl is unchanged, but formation of all other dimeric products is almost completely suppressed.

C1PhMA (III) could be isolated by preparative gas chromatography. It is identical with the first-step substitution product formed in photolysis. Figure 4 shows the concentration of C1PhMA versus radiation dose.



III







FIG. 4. Concentration of α -chloro- α '-phenylmaleic anhydride (ClPhMA) vs. radiation dose; 0.1 mole/liter DClMA in benzene.

The main product in radiolysis, however, is a polymer. The yield of this polymer and its molecular weight as functions of radiation dose are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 1 gives the results of elemental analysis of various polymer samples. Whereas the polymers are insoluble in water, boiling in KOH results in a completely water-soluble product. This means that any polymer molecule must contain at least one hydrolyzable group. The number of these groups per 100 g polymer were obtained by titration of the excess KOH after hydrolysis. For comparison the photoadduct II was treated under the same conditions (boiling 5 hr in 0.1 <u>M</u> KOH). It could be shown that the anhydride as well as the Cl groups are hydrolyzed quantitatively (Table 1).

The IR spectra of the polymers are in good agreement with these results and only depend to a small extent on radiation dose. The bands at 1860, 1780, 1300, and 960 cm⁻¹ due to C=O and =C-O-C stretching vibrations of anhydrides in five-membered rings are replaced completely after hydrolysis of the polymer by bands at 1590 and 1390 cm⁻¹ due to carboxyl groups. The former Cl groups are transformed during hydrolysis into secondary or tertiary alcohols with bands at 1100-1050 cm⁻¹. Furthermore, characteristic absorption bands of mono- and disubstituted benzene as well as for olefinic



FIG. 5. Yield of polymer (g polymer formed/g DClMA originally present) in radiolysis of a 0.1 \underline{M} solution of DClMA in benzene.



FIG. 6. Molecular weight dependence of DC1MA-benzene polymer on radiation dose.

2011
January
25
00:60
At:
Downloaded

								Numl	oer of hydr able group:	-olyz- 3
		ζ	þ		0		CI		Per	Per
	eV/g)	(%) (%)	ц (%)	8	mole/100 g	<i>6</i> 9	mole/100 g	mole	tov g (calcd) ^a	expt1)
Radiation	110×10^{20}	60.0	3.7	21.6	1.35	14.7	0.41		1.30	1.3
polymer	187×10^{20}	58.7	4.1	20.6	1.29	16.6	0.48		1.33	1.3
	206×10^{20}	58.6	4.0	19.6	1.22	17.8	0.50		1.31	I
Photoadduct (II)	I	53.0	2.4	21.2	1.32	23.5	0.63	7	1.55	1.6
^a Calculated fi groups, and all C	rom element	al ana 1 be hy	lysis (/droly	data or zed.	the assumpt	ions th	at all oxygen	is pres	ient in anhy	/dride

ŕ P A MUDA & ٣

928

and aliphatic groups are present in the IR spectra of the polymer. The NMR spectrum (Fig. 7) shows that the polymer contains aromatic ($\delta = 7.36$ ppm) and olefinic ($\delta = 5.75$ ppm) protons.

DISCUSSION

Analogous to sensitized photolysis, a substitution product CIPhMA is formed in radiolysis, but the mechanism of its formation apparently is not identical. From Fig. 1 one can see that CIPhMA formation in radiolysis can be enhanced by sensitizers (acetophenone and benzophenone) to some extent, but is almost completely inhibited by the presence of $C(NO_2)_4$. $C(NO_2)_4$ is known to be very efficient in scavenging electrons (K = 6×10^{10} liter/mole-sec [14]) whereas benzene is rather inefficient (K < 7×10^6 liter/mole-sec [15]). Therefore the inhibition of ClPhMA formation by $C(NO_2)_4$ should be due to a competition between $C(NO_2)_4$ and DCIMA in scavenging electrons; this means that the first step in CIPhMA formation is an electron attachment to DCIMA, whereas the excitation of DCIMA is of lesser importance. Photochemical excitation of DCIMA-benzene leads to the formation of CIPhMA and HCl; in radiolysis no HCl could be detected. According to Fig. 4 ClPhMA is completely consumed in polymer formation. The photochemical addition product II is not obtained.

Homopolymerization of MA is accompanied by CO_2 elimination [1-5]. In the radiolysis of DC1MA in benzene, CO₂ as well as CO formation takes place, but approximately 200 molecules of DCIMA are consumed for each molecule of CO₂ formed (Fig. 2). In addition, $C(NO_2)_4$, which inhibits DCIMA conversion almost completely, has no influence on CO_2 and CO formation. Therefore CO_2 formation must be independent of polymer formation. Taking into account that the molar ratio of benzene/DCIMA (0.1 M solution) is approximately 110/1, CO₂/CO production should be due to the energy absorbed in DCIMA directly, which is proportional to the electron fraction of DC1MA in the solution. Mass spectra of DC1MA, which we have taken, reveal that elimination of CO_2 from the parent ion is very effective. This fragmentation is followed by a competitive CO and C1 elimination. The constancy of the CO_2 formation up to very high doses (Fig. 2), suggests that direct ionization of the polymer results in CO_2 elimination also. This is in good agreement with the elemental analysis data (Table 1). Here, with increasing dose, the oxygen content of the polymer decreases gradually.

The relatively long induction period and the increase of molecular weight with increasing dose indicates that an intermediate is responsible for polymerization.



Elemental analysis of the polymer formed at low dose yields a ratio of $0:C1 \simeq 3:1$, which is the same as with ClPhMA. The IR spectrum corroborates the existence of anhydride groups in the polymer. If it is assumed that all of the oxygen of the polymer exists in the form of anhydride groups, the O and Cl portion of the polymer should result in 1.3 hydrolyzable groups per 100 g polymer (Table 1). This is in fact the value found by hydrolysis of the polymer. The complete hydrolysis of all anhydride and Cl groups could be proven by the hydrolysis of the photoadduct II. Because of the anhydride groups in the polymer as well as the formation of tertiary alcohol groups following hydrolysis of Cl groups, the polymerization of ClPhMA should proceed via the C=C double bonds. The increase in molecular weight at doses where all DCIMA and nearly all ClPhMA is consumed must be due to crosslinking reactions. Here the decrease of the O portion of the polymer by CO_2 elimination may play a role.

Nevertheless, a polymer consisting only of ClPhMA units should contain 1.5 hydrolyzable groups/100 g. From this difference and from the fact that the benzene radiolysis is completely changed in the presence of DClMA it must be concluded that at least a second component is included in the polymer. Figure 3 shows that in the radiolysis of benzene the production of diphenyl is not influenced by DClMA addition, whereas unsaturated products such as phenylcyclohexadiene are suppressed. They should be incorporated in the polymer by copolymerization with ClPhMA or by scavenging of their radical precursors by ClPhMA. This assumption is supported by the NMR data, which show that, besides aromatic hydrogens, olefinic hydrogens are present which should originate in polymerization of cyclic dienes.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents

DClMA was purified by double sublimation in vacuum (Fp $117-119^{\circ}$ C).

Sample Preparation and Irradiation

The solutions were filled into glass ampoules degassed several times and sealed under vacuum. Irradiation was performed with γ -radiation from a Co-60 source, at a dose rate of $0.2-2.0 \times 10^{20}$ eV/g-hr.

Gas Chromatography

The preparative collection of ClPhMA was done with a column with 5% Apiezon M on Chromosorb G 45/60 mesh at 180° C.

A stainless steel 1/8-in. packed column was used for the separation of the benzene dimers. The liquid phase was 5% SE30 on Chromosorb T, 40-60 mesh.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. R. Henkelmann of our laboratory for the quantitative Cl determinations by activation analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Joshi, <u>Makromol. Chem.</u>, 53, 33 (1962).
- [2] D. Braun, I. A. Aziz El Sayed, and J. Pomakis, <u>Makromol.</u> Chem., 124, 249 (1969).
- [3] I. Schopov, Makromol. Chem., 137, 293 (1970).
- [4] M. L. Hallensleben and H. Wurm, Eur. Polym. J., 9, 919 (1973).
- [5] H. Zweifel, J. Löliger, and T. Völker, <u>Makromol. Chem.</u>, <u>153</u>, 125 (1972).
- [6] J. L. Lang, W. A. Pavelich, and H. D. Clarey, J. Polym. Sci., 55, 31 (1961); J. Polym. Sci. A, 1, 1123 (1963).
- [7] H. J. F. Angus and D. Bryce-Smith, Proc. Chem. Soc. (London), 1959, 326.
- [8] W. Hartmann, H. G. Heine, and L. Schrader, <u>Tetrahedron</u> <u>Letters</u>, No. 11, 883 (1974); <u>Ibid.</u>, No. 36, 3101 (1974).
- [9] Z. Raciszewski; J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1966, 1147; Ibid, 1967, 1253.
- [10] G. O. Schenck, W. Hartmann, and R. Steinmetz, <u>Chem. Ber.</u>, 96, 498 (1963).
- [11] H. D. Scharf and F. Korte, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, No. 43, 4231 (1967).
- [12] G. O. Schenck, H. Hartmann, S. P. Mannsfeld, W. Metzner, and C. H. Kranch, Chem. Ber., 95, 1642 (1962).
- [13] G. B. Vermont, P. X. Riccobono, and J. Blake, <u>J. Amer. Chem.</u> Soc., 87, 4024 (1965).
- [14] K. -D. Asmus and A. Henglein, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 68, 348 (1964).
- [15] E. J. Hart, S. Gordon, and J. K. Thomas, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>68</u>, 1271 (1964).

Accepted by editor December 16, 1976 Received for publication December 31, 1976